# THE AMSTERDAM UMC DOCTORAL SCHOOL 2020

# **ADVICE** IN FIGURES

A 2020 OVERVIEW OF OUR ADVICE & SUPPORT FINDINGS

#### **DEMOGRAPHICS**













## 1817 PHD CANDIDATES REGISTERED



#### **ADVISORY CONVERSATIONS**

145 ADVISORY CONVERSATIONS WITH 87 PHD CANDIDATES





1 session 34%







💁 🐧 3. Interference work-life balance

## **DISCONTINUED PHD**



**△ △** PHD TRAJECTORIES WERE DISCONTINUED



### Top 3 reasons



1. Dissatisfaction research project



2. Problems with supervision



3. Personal problems

# MONITORING IN **FIGURES**

A 2020 OVERVIEW OF OUR MONITORING FINDINGS

# 

#### PHD PLAN

In an open and honest conversation, PhD candidates and their supervisors compile a PhD Plan to ensure proper training and supervision and to specify mutual expectations and goals.

332 PHD CANDIDATES STARTED THEIR TRAJECTORY

Compiled a phd plan & had an intake meeting with PhD advisor

58% Did so within the first 6 months

#### PHD PROGRESS & CONSULTATION

An opportunity to reflect on the PhD trajectory halfway with supervisory team and independent PhD counsellor (professor/PI outside the research group).

215 PHD CANDIDATES DID PHD PROGRESS & CONSULTATION







#### **TOP 3 NEGATIVE FACTORS**

#### PhD candidate:

- 1. COVID-19
- 2. Delays

#### 3. Unpredictable research

#### PhD counsellor:

- 1. Time/project management 2. COVID-19
- 3. Personal development

## PHD CONCLUSION



#### After graduating, the young doctors fill in a survey about supervision, infrastructure, research climate, thesis examination, clear goals & expectations, and gained skills.

600 SURVEYS RECEIVED (2014-2020)





PhD candidates scored higher on PhD

- They regularly discussed their PhD Plan with supervisors (64% did so).
- They discussed (78%), and prepared (54%) for their career during their PhD. They worked within a team
- They were unemployed (7%) or had a job outside academia (10%) when they filled in the survey. Their PhD took more than 4 years (72%).

PhD candidates scored lower on PhD satisfaction when

Top 3 to be improved: 1. Supervision 2. Infrastructure 3. Financial support

# **HOW TO IMPROVE** PHD LIFE?

BASED ON TRUE STORIES FROM OUR PHD CANDIDATES

#### STRIVE FOR STATE-OF-THE-**ART SUPERVISION**

- The training Superb Supervision helps to improve supervision.
- Well-being and output of PhD candidates increase by tending to communication needs: realistic planning and priorities, clear bidirectional expectations, personal development/support, and regular evaluations.
- Evaluation of supervision skills enhances the quality of supervision.





#### CONCUR ON CONTRACT

Contractual requirements to start a PhD, or at least requiring that PhD candidates are fully aware of any deviating current and future contractual arrangements <u>in writing</u>, avoids misconceptions.

#### TUCK IN A TEAM

- A buddy system helps beginning PhD candidates with a great start.
- Regular group meetings make PhD candidates feel socially connected at work.
- Social events and workshops connect PhD candidates with each other



## COACH ON CAREER



Regular conversations with the supervisor are useful to prepare PhD candidates for their future career.

A PhD should be designed to enhance competences that support future career perspectives.

Career courses/workshops for PhD candidates help to guide career choices.

## **DREAMS OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL**

We aim to improve supervision by strongly recommending the training Superb Supervision and by attending to communication needs of the PhD candidates in our evaluation procedures and social media channels.



We aim to enhance sense of team by organizing activities (peer-to-peer group coaching, workshops, and social events).

We plan to organize career courses and workshops.

We provide <u>personal, mental,</u> and <u>emotional</u> <u>support</u> by increasing visibility and accessibility of the PhD advisors.





