

Factsheet

Research evaluation using the Strategy Evaluation Protocol (SEP)

All research institutes at Amsterdam UMC will be evaluated according to protocol (SEP).

Aim

- Evaluation of scientific research for the purpose of:
1) internal improvement and 2) external accountability
- Evaluation of the institute's research processes and output in light of its own aims and strategy

Action required by all AR&D members (PhD's, PI's, junior researcher, etc.)

To evaluate our AR&D institute we kindly ask you:

- To select 1-3 AR&D research projects or accomplishments from the last three years (2017-2019) that can underscore the quality, relevance and viability of AR&D as a research institute by means of qualitative examples. These examples are called highlights. Highlights represent the distinctive research quality and/or societal relevance of our institute. A selection of the submitted highlights will be used as case evidence in the narrative of the AR&D self-assessment.
- Highlights can be submitted via the online submission form e-mailed to all AR&D affiliates.

Planning

- Mid-term review in 2020; Full-term review in 2023

Scope

- Research quality
- Societal impact
- Viability

Obligatory SEP aspects to focus on

- Open science
- Academic culture
- PhD policy and training
- Human resources policy

How

1. AR&D writes a self-assessment according to Strategy Evaluation Protocol
2. AR&D organizes a site visit by an assessment committee

Output: Self-assessment document written by AR&D

- For mid-term review: the past 3-year ambitions, aims, and strategy of AR&D are described, documented as a narrative argument addressing abovementioned criteria and aspects following the VSNU, KNAW and NWO formulated protocols. The aims and strategy of the research institute is written.
- The narrative argument will be supported by corresponding, robust qualitative, and/or quantitative data which AR&D will collect via central databases. In addition, case studies to highlight societal relevant and relevant research accomplishments are selected to support the narrative.
- Full-term review: The research unit reflects on the past and future three years, the strategy needed to achieve its research goals, by describing its position in the field, by anticipating on relevant scientific and societal developments.